

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addess: COMMISSONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 1884-1850 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION	ON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,	773	11/15/2001	Gregory R. Lloyd	TSQ-001	4625	
959	7590	09/29/2006		EXAMINER		
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD				ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN		
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2165		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/003,773	LLOYD ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165

	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN <sup>-1</sup> 06.07(f).	iling date of the final rejecti THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	but animate the plate of filling a bo	:	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see flow);	NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	reiected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	21. See attached Notice of Non- ):		
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>9-13</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 14-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A before a substant of filling of	. Nation of Annual will m	at ha amtarad
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing and sufficient reasons why the afficient reasons why the afficient reasons why the afficient reasons why the afficient reasons which we will be a sufficient reason with the a sufficient reason which we will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason with the sufficient reason will be a sufficient reason wi	davit or other evidence i	or be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap ry and was not earlier presented	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by		n in condition for allowa	Nce because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li><li>.</li></ul>	1	JEFFREY COSTAN	NAMINER
	su	ENVISORY PATENT EX	2100

The newly added claimed limitations of "the metastructure maintaining a list of a plurality of relationship changes occurring over time" found in Independent claims 1, and 27, raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.